United States Patent Office

3,682,713 Patented Aug. 8, 1972

1

3,682,713
PROCESS FOR APPLYING PROTECTIVE COATINGS ON ALUMINUM, ZINC AND IRON
Christian Ries, Cologne-Esik, and Ginter Stolzenfels,
Harth-Esteren, Germany, assignors to Gethard Collardia G.m.b.H., Cologne-Ebreafeld, Germany
No Drawing, Filed June 25, 1970, Ser. No. 49,925
Claims priority, application Germany, June 28, 1969,
P 19 33 613.3
htt. Cl. C232 7/00
U.S. Cl. 148—6.14 R

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A process for applying a protective coating on alumi- 15 more apparent as the description thereof proceeds. num, zinc and from under substantially identical operating conditions which consists essentially of applying to the metal surfaces of aluminum, zinc and iron of a complex fluoride coating solution free from chromic said, phosphoric acid and oxalic acid having a concentration of from 0.1 to 15 gm. per liter, based on the metal content, of complex fluorides of metals selected from the group consisting of boron, titanium, zircentum and iron, from 0.1 to 10 gm. per liter of free fluoride ions and from 0.5 to 30 gm, per liter, talculated as sodium m-nitrohenzene sulfonate, of an oxidizing agent, at a pH of from
3.0 to 6.8 for a time sufficient to form a coating. The applied coatings can be post-passivated with solutions containing chromic or phosphorio acids.

THE PRIOR ART

It is known to apply protective coatings by means of acid solutions to steel and zinc or iron with zinc galva- 35 acid sommons to specially the corrosion protection and the adhesion of paint, varnish and plastic coatings. Previously there was applied to steel surfaces, generally phospharically and plastic coating the construction of paint and plastic coating the coating phatizing solutions and to zinc surfaces phosphatizing solutions and thromating solutions. However, not in all cases could satisfactory results be obtained with these processes. The phosphate coatings, which in themselves give good correction protection, have the disadvantage of poor varnish adhesion if a deformation of the material occurs after the Varnishing. The relatively thin layers which are formed by non-film-forming phosphatizing processes do not in this respect satisfy the practical requirements. These requirements with reference to zinc are admittedly better satisfied through chromate coatings, but the chromating processes have the disadvantage 50 that large quantities of waste water must be decontaindnated and these processes become, therefore, very expensive, Also even with chromate coatings, a better adhesion of the varnish and plastic layers is often desired. This happens particularly with the surface treatment of 65 hot-galvanized steel.

Chromate coatings are generally applied to aluminum. These process conditions are, however, not suitable for applying of protective coatings onto iron and steel. The problem of treating steel and galvanized, especially 60 Sendzimir-galvanized, surfaces and also aluminum surfaces with the same process often occurs in practice, particularly in strip operations. It is relatively expensive to introduce a special solution for each of the mentioned metals.

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

An object of the invention is the development of a process of coating diverse metals such as aluminum, from and zine under identical operating conditions using a com- 70 plex fluoride coating solution.

A further object of the invention is the development

of a process for applying a protective coating on alumi-mum, zinc and iron under substantially identical operating conditions which consists essentially of applying to the metal surfaces of aluminum, zinc and iron of a complex fluoride coating solution free from chromic acid, phosphoric acid and oxalic acid having a concentration of from 0.1 to 15 gm. per liter, based on the metal content, of complex fluorides of metals selected from the group consisting of boron, titanium, zirconium and fron, from 0.1 to 10 gm. per liter of free fluoride ions and from 0.5 to 30 gm. per liter, calculated as sodium m-mitrobenzane sufforests, of an oxidizing agent, at a pH of from 3.0 to 6.8 for a time sufficient to form a coating.

These and other objects of the invention will become

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention solves the problem of the development of a simple process for manufacturing of protective coatings on aluminum, from or steel and sinc or zinc galvanized surfaces, which treats the different metal surfaces under identical operating conditions.

The new process is characterized in that the metal surfaces are treated until a coating has been formed with a solution, free of chromic acid, phosphoric acid and oxalic acid, which contains complex fluorides of boron, titanium, zirconium or iron in amounts of from 0.1 to 15 gm. per liter, calculated as the metal ion content, containing from 0.1 to 10 gm. per liter of free fluoride ions and 0.5 to 30 gm. per liter of oxidizing agents, calculated as sodium m-nitrobenzens sulfonate. The formed protective coating can eventually be after-treated with chromic acid and/or phosphoric acid containing solutions.

The application of solutions of complex fluorides of boron proved to be particularly suitable. They form especially hard and resistant coatings which represent, in addition to a good corresion to the state of the metal these coatings are later deformed, regardless of the metal

The mentioned complex fluorides being utilized are water-soluble compounds which can be added directly into solution or which can be formed in the solution by addition of their components. The latter process can be carried out through addition of water-soluble salts of the metals as well as the corresponding amounts of fluoride ions. In this way, titanyl sulfate or zinconyl chlorids can be utilized. The iron ions can be added, in addition to the complex fluoride as a water-soluble salt such as the sulfate of the su fate, nitrate or chloride. The treatment solutions of this invention can also contain several of the metal long or mixtures of different salts of the metals. If the finoride ions are not added to the treatment solutions in the form of complex fluorides, then they are added as hydrofinoric acid or its neutral or acid salts. An excess of fineride ions above the stolchiometrically required quantity for the forming of the complex fluorides is useful if the desired pH range is maintained. Preferably there is sufficient fluoride ions present in the coating solution to provide between 0.1 gm. per liter and 10 gm. per liter of free fluoride ions.

The solutions of this invention, as applied, contain an oxidizing agent, preferably sodium m-nitrobenzens sulfonate. Instead of the sodium salt, the free acid can also be utilized. By application of this oxidizing agent, it is possible to vary the treatment time of the metal surface to a very large extent. Instead of the m-nitrobenzene sulfonates, other oxidation agents can be used. Mainly such oxidation agents under consideration are those known as oxidizing acceleration agents for phosphatizing solutions, such as for example, alkali metal nitrates, nitrites, chlorates, bromates; hydrogen peroxide 8,682,713

and its addition products; aliphatic and aromatic nitro and nitroso compounds, such as nitroguandina, picrio acid, nitrophenois; as well as dinitrotoluene sulfonic acid and its alkali metal salts and quinons. Mixtures of different oxidizing agents can also be used. The oxidizing agents are preferably utilized in amounts of from 0.5 to 30 gm. per liter, preferably from 2 to 15 gm. per liter, calculated as sodium m-nitrobenzame sulfonate. In other words, if another oxidizing agent is utilized, it is used in amounts which would give an active oxygen release equivalent to 10 the range of sodium m-nitrobenzane suifonate.

Furthermore, it was found that the film forming can still further be accelerated by adding to the acid solutions, metal salts which form an insoluble oxide in the coating and which are between magnesium and hydrogen in the electromotive series. These are preferably water-coluble salis of zine, nickel, cobalt and trivalent chromium. The amounts of these metal salts which are utilized amount to about 0.1 to 5 gm, per liter, preferably 0.2 to 2 gm. per liter, based on the metal salt added.

The treatment solutions must be practically free of chromic acid or chromium-(VI)-compounds, exalic acids or oxalates and phosphoric acid or phosphates. These compounds or their corresponding anions interfere with the forming of the coating according to this invention, 26 sloce they themselves are film-forming anions. Even relatively small quantities, for instance, of phosphates, decrease already the adhesion of varnish layers, Chromic acid and chromium-(VI) compounds must moreover be excluded because of their poisonousness and the herewith 80 connected expensive waste water purification.

The pH value of the acid solutions lies preferably in the pri value of the said addition has presently in the range above 3.0 to 6.8. Optimum operating pH ranges and optimum spray times will vary depending upon the metal substrate and the selection of coating solutions in accordance with the invention. A pH range of 3.6 to 4.9 has been proven to be particularly suitable. The adjus-ment of the pH value can be carried out with alkalis or

The treatment time is preferably short and amounts in 40 poteral to 3 to 180 seconds, particularly 5 to 60 seconds. The treatment time is consequently so short, in strip installations with vary high strip speeds, one can operate without the need for applying specially large baths or

spraying zones

The operating temperature of the soid solutions lies between 15° and 95° C., preferably between 40° and 65° C. The solutions can be applied with a dipping or brushing process; preferably, however, they are applied in a spraying process or by means of roll-coaters. The metal surfaces are cleansed or degreased before the treatment, according to this invention. This cleansing and degressing can be carried out by means of solvents or with the customary alkaline; neutral or soid cleanurs and depends upon the degree of soil."

In many cases it is advantageous to post-passivate the coatings obtained. This post-passivating can be carried out with a diluted solution of chromic acid and/or phosphoric acid or acid chromates and/or phosphates. The concentration of the chromic acid and/or the phospheric acid or their salts lies generally betwees 0.01 and 5 gm.

per liter.

A preferred execution form of the invention consists of the after-treatment of the protective coatings by means. of diluted chromic acid which contains chromium-(III)-ions. The concentrations applied its generally between 0.2 and 3 gm. per liter CcO₃ and 0.05 to 1 gm. per liter Cr₂O₂. Subsequent to the post-passivating the coatings are dried. Before the after-meatment the metal surfaces are rinsed appropriately with water, although this rinse is not absolutely necessary, particularly if one operates with suitable squeeze rollers. The after-treatment can, if desired, be varied depending upon the kind of metal surface. The amounts of chromic acid solutions which eventually collect by the after-treat. 75

The state of the s ment are small in comparison to the customary chromating processes and can easily be reduced in batches, so that no wasts water difficulties arise.

The treatment baths of the invention can be prepared through dissolving the above-stated compounds or through diluting of corresponding concentrates. They can be used during long periods of time and can again and again be replenished to constant points with the starting materials. The points of a solution are hereby defined in the customary way as the amount in ml. of 0.1 N NaOH which is necessary to titrate 10 ml, of bath solution to the turning point of bromophenol bine (free sold) or phenolphthalein (total acid). An additional pill correction may be necessary after replemishing several times if the baths are replepished with the same liquid or solid concentrates.

For this reason the baths are prefarably replenished with solutions or concentrates, which contain complex finorides of boron, titanium, zioconium and/or iron and at least one oxidizing agent and which have an acid ratio of free acid to total acid of 1:1.05 to 1:5.0, preferably 1:1.5 to 2.5.

The process of the invention produces on aluminum, iron or steal, time and zine galvanized surfaces, evenly thin, easily deformable, homogantous coatings which give a good corrosion protection and possess an excellent variational design on steel are mouse-grey to high independent the coatings on steel are mouse-grey to bluish iridescent, those on zine and aluminum, dulilight-gray to light yellowish iridescent. The process can early be maintained, and the baths possess a very long life. The same baths can be used under squal operating

conditions for all of the mentioned metal surfaces.

The following specific embodiments are illustrative of the invention without being limitative in any respect.

Example 1

In a spraying installation protective coatings were sucstrips as well as to aluminum strips. The strips were first degressed with alkali, rinsed with cold water and subsequently irrested with a solution of the following composition: cossively applied to stool strips, Sondaimir-galvanised stool

(• •		Gm./1	
Meter.		 	·		1.5
PIOPINE AT		 			47
LINE -		 			77
200mm n					
Zn(NO:)	6H ₂ O _	 			0,4

The pH value was adjusted to 6.6. The treatment tamperature amounted to 35° C, and the treatment time to 120 seconds. The treated strips were rinsed subsequently with cold water and post-passivated for 6 seconds with a solution which contained, in the case of the steel strips, 0.1% by weight of NaHaPO, and, in the case of the Senzimir-galvanized strips, 0.2% by weight of chromic acid. Thereafter they were died.

The layer-forming treatment solution was, however, the same for all strip types. Thin, evenly good, deformable coatings with excellent adhesion for point and plastic were obtained with this treatment solution on aluminum and on. Sendamir-galyanized material as well as on steel...

In changing from zinc to steel surfaces or to aluminum surfaces neither the bath composition nor the operating conditions, such as for instance, the transporting speed (treatment time), pH value and bath temperature, had to be changed.

Example 2

Equally good results were obtained following the process of Example 1 in using a solution of the following composition instead of the treatment solution mentioned in Example 1:

			Chillian
(NH ₄) ₂ [Z ₇ F ₆]		·	1.5
NaNO,			
Co(NO ₂) ₂ 6 H ₂ O _			
Sodium m-mirobenze	me.sulfonate		1.0
Marketin an am decem-			

3,682,713

The pH value amounted to 5.2, the treatment temperature to 70° C., and the treatment time to 30 seconds. Below 70° C., for instance, at 55° to 65° C. and at exposure times of 20 to 60 seconds, coatings of the same good quality were obtained.

Example 3

In a spray installation coatings were applied successively to sample strips of steel, galvanized steel and aluminum respectively. In each case the metal strips were degreesed and cleaned with a conventional alkaline cleaner, ringed with cold water and then sprayed with a solution of the following composition:

Gm.	/liter
Zinc fluoborateSodium nitriteAmmonium fluoride	0.37

Sodium hydroxide, added as necessary to adjust pH to 4.3

The treatment temperature was maintained at approximately 65° C. and after treatment the metal strips were rinsed with cold water and post-passivated with chromic 26 acid solution (0.06 percent by wt. chromium-IV-lons and 0.02 percent by wt. chromium-III-lons) and thereafter dried. The time of spray treatment was varied, with cor-responding variations in coating weight. A 60-second spray on steel gave a coating of 0.83 gm./meters. A 60-second 80 spray on galvanized steel gave a coating weight of 2.1 gm./meter² and a 60-second spray on aluminum gave a coating weight of 2.4 gm./meter². In all cases the coating was of a light gray amorphous appearance, uniform and evenly distributed with excellent adhesion for paint and 35 excellent corrosion resistance properties.

On continued bath loading, the conting solution was replenished with zinc finoborate so as to maintain the zinc concentration above 4.0 gm./liter and preferably above 10 gm./liter. Alternatively the coating solution may be 40 replanished by adding zinc oxide and fluoboric acid thereto to produce zinc fluoborate in site. The fluoride activity of the bath should be maintained at a concentration equivalent to 2.0 gm./liter of fluoride ion or greater by the addition of ammonium fluoride as necessary. The 45 nitrite concentration is preferably maintained at 0.25 gm./ liter calculated as nitrite, or greater. The pH is preferably maintained between about 4.3 and 4.9 by the addition of podium hydroxide

The addition of zinc fluoborate can be conveniently 50 controlled by conventional zinc titration techniques customarily employed in producing zinc phosphate coatings on metal. The fluorids ion content can be conveniently controlled by use of electronic squipment, such as the commercially available Lineguard Meter No. 101A (de- 55 scribed in United States Fatent 3,350,284). Nitrite concentration is conveniently controlled by conventional titration methods, such as a potassium permanganate titra-tion conventionally employed in metal coating processes utilizing nitrits as an oxidizing agent.

The preceding specific embodiments are illustrative of the practice of the invention. It is to be understood, however, that other expedients known to those skilled in the art may be employed without departing from the spirit of the invention or the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

 A process for applying a protective coating on aluminum, sine and iron under substantially identical operating conditions which consists essentially of successively applying to the metal surfaces of aluminum, zinc and iron of a complex fluoride coating solution free from chromic scid, phosphoric acid and axalic acid having a concentration of from 0.1 to 15 cm, per liter, based on the metal content, of complex fluorides of metals selected from the group consisting of boron, thankun, zirconlum and fron, from 0.1 to 10 gm. per liter of free fluoride ions and from 0.5 to 30 gm. per liter, calculated as sodium m-nitro-beazene sulfonate, of an oxidizing agent, at a pH of from above 3.0 to 6.8 for a time sufficient to form a coating.

6

2. The process of claim 1 wherein said complex fluoride coating solution is applied to said metal surfaces for a period of from 3 to 180 seconds.

3. The process of claim 2 wherein said application

period is from 5 to 60 seconds.

4. The process of claim 1 wherein said pH is from 3.6 to 4.9.

6. The process of claim 1 wherein said complex fluoride of metals is a complex boron frontide.

6. The process of claim 1 wherein said complex fluoride coating solution has a content of from 0.1 to 5 gm. per liter of a soluble metal salt of a metal which in the electromotive series lies between hydrogen and magnesium and which forms an insoluble oxide.

7. The process of claim 1 wherein said complex fluorides of metal is from 0.1 to 15 gm. per liter of zino finoborate, calculated as boron.

8. The process of claim 7 wherein said sine fluoborate is produced in situ by zinc oxide and fluctoric said.

9. The process of claim 7 wherein said pH is from 4.6 to 4.9.

16. The process of claim 1 wherein said oxidizing agent is sodium m-nitrobenzane sulfonate.

11. The process of claim 1 wherein said oxidizing agent ia sodium nitrita.

12. The process of claim 1 wherein said coated metal surfaces are post-passivated by application thereto of a dilute thromic acid solution containing chromium-III ions.

13. The process of claim 1 wherein said complex flucride coating solution is continuously applied to continuously moving metal surfaces and said coating solution is continuously replenished with concentrates of its constituents having an acid ratio of free acid to total acid of 1:1.05 to 1:3,

References Cited

UNITED STATES PATENTS 3,539,402 11/1970 Ries 148---5.2 X Ries ______ 148—6.2 X Loose _____ 148—6.27 X 3,539,403 11/1970 2,332,487 1,638,273 10/1943 Pacz ----- 148-6.27 8/1927 Pacz _____ 148-6,27 1,710,743 4/1929 Pimbley _____ 148--6.14 X 3,066,055 11/1962 2,276,353 2,357,219 Thompson _____ 148—6,2 3/1942 Mott _____ 148—6.14 R O'Connor et al ____ 148—6.2 X 8/1944 3,160,506 12/1964

RALPH S. KENDALL, Primary Examiner

US. Cl. X.R.

65 148-6.27, 6.15 R, 6.16, 6.2